Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,764	ALLEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS M. HAMMOND III	3695
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) THOMAS M. HAMMOND III.	(3)	
(2) Howard Sobelman, Applicant's Representative. (4)		
Date of Interview: 6/5/09, 9/17/09.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)[ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed:,		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. $g)$ was not reached. $h$ $h$ $h$ $h$ $h$ $h$ $h$		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. On 65/09, the prior art was discussed relative to the claimed invention. The Examiner further supposed amendments that would exercise the prior of prediction of the claimed invention. No agreements were reached. After review of the amendments submitted on 55/09, the Examiner spoke with thorsest Solid Prior and Solid Pri		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, ISSO MFEP Section 73.04, If a neply to the last Office osten has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DIAMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO		

/Thomas M Hammond III/ Examiner, Art Unit 3695

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.